## For the Northern District of California

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ERSIE EVERETTE,

No. C-07-3013 MMC

V.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO INFORM COURT WHETHER THEY CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

Defendant

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. <u>See</u> Civil L.R. 73-1(b).

Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than December 17, 2007, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.<sup>1</sup> For the parties' convenience, a consent form is attached hereto; forms are also available at <a href="http://www.cand.uscourts.gov">http://www.cand.uscourts.gov</a>, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may request therein assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 26, 2007

MAXINE M. CHESNEY United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their Joint Case Management Statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.